



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/698,001

10/30/2003

Hidenori Usuda

9319S-000575

7423

27572 7590 07/03/2008  
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. BOX 828  
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303

EXAMINER

FIDLER, SHELBY LEE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2861

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/03/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/698,001	<b>Applicant(s)</b> USUDA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SHELBY FIDLER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2861	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHELBY FIDLER. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Matthew H. Szalach. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kimura et al. (US 6386672 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner clarified his most recent response to arguments. Also, we discussed differences between the normal/cooling dirve signals disclosed in the prior art of record (specifically Kimura et al.) and those of the instant invention. Finally, we determined that paragraphs 61-65 of the instant specification contain sufficient disclosure to provide an amendment which would overcome the current prior art rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shelby Fidler/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2861

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required